

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  Michel Lesimple	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10767-002001	CONFIRMATION NO. 6960
09/648,588	08/25/2000				
26161	7590	03/21/2003			
FISH & RIC		PC		EXAMINER	
225 FRANKI BOSTON, M				COOLEY, CHARLES E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1723	
·				DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/648,588

Lesimple

Examiner

**Charles Cooley** 

Art Unit 1723



The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS STHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a) mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply with If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cau. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed nin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailings the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.)	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 1331
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Jan	2003	
2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prose parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453	cution as to the merits is O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <i>1-37 and 51</i>	is/are	pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		
5)  Claim(s)		
6) 🔀 Claim(s) 1-37 and 51		is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		·
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/:	are a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objecte	d to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).
a) $\square$ All b) $\square$ Some* c) $\square$ None of:		
1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.	
2. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application N	o. <u>08/748,891</u> .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes		e).
a) The translation of the foreign language provision		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	ac priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	n(s)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P	<del></del>
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 9	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1723

# **OFFICE ACTION**

#### Status

1. The submission of a supplemental amendment filed 27 JAN 2003 in response to the interview of 21 JAN 2003 (Paper No. 11) is acknowledged. The unmailed final rejection discussed during the interview, Paper No. 10, is vacated and voided from the prosecution history to consider the supplemental amendment which presents revisions agreed upon during the interview of 21 JAN 2003. Claims 1-37 and 51 are pending. Claims 38-50 have been canceled.

# Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119. The certified copy has been filed in parent application, Serial No. 098/748,891, filed on 08 OCT 1996.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

- 3. Note the attached PTO-1449 form submitted with the Information Disclosure Statements filed 4 NOV 2002.
- 4. The information disclosure statement filed 13 JAN 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Art Unit: 1723

The IDS filed 13 JAN 2003 states a check for \$180 was enclosed, yet the IDS is stamped "The PTO did not receive the following listed item(s): a check for \$180.00".

#### Reissue Oath/Declaration

- 5. The reissue oath/declaration filed 17 APR 2002 is defective because:
- (a) It does not identify the mailing or post office address of each inventor. A mailing or post office address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing or post office address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing or post office address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.
- **(b)** It does not state whether the inventor is a sole or joint inventor of the invention claimed.
- (c) It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration has reviewed and understands the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration. The underlined language is missing.
- (d) It does not identify the foreign application for patent on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date

Art Unit: 1723

before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application number, country, day, month and year of its filing. See MPEP 1417.

6. Claims 1-37 and 51 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) which addressees the above deficiencies will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

### Assignee

7. The written consent of all assignees owning an undivided interest in the patent filed 25 AUG 2000 is acceptable.

Art Unit: 1723

#### Surrender of Patent

8. The offer to surrender the original patent is acknowledged. The original patent, or an affidavit or declaration as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

## **Drawings**

- Requirements for drawings in reissue applications are found in 37 CFR
   1.174 and MPEP 1413.
- 10. The request to transfer original drawings from the patent is denied.
  Patent drawings can no longer be transferred from the patented application
  (MPEP 1413). Formal drawings will be required when the instant application is allowed.

# Recapture

11. Upon reconsideration and in view of Applicant's remarks, the instant application is not deemed to improperly recapture claimed subject matter deliberately canceled in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based.

Art Unit: 1723

### Claim Objections

12. Claim 51 is objected to because in line 2, it appears "paint can cover" should be --can cover-- to agree with the claims from which it depends.

### Claim Rejections

13. The supplemental amendment filed 27 JAN 2003 overcomes the outstanding 35 USC 112 rejections and prior art rejections for the reasons set forth in the interview summary, Paper No. 11 and in view of Applicant's arguments filed 27 JAN 2003.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 1-27 and 51 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 251.
- 15. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Upon reconsideration of the pending claims, the closest prior art (the patent to Neri) does not meet amended claims 15 and 29 for the reasons advanced by Applicant in the supplemental amendment filed 27 JAN 2003.

Art Unit: 1723

#### Conclusion

16. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION. ANY RESPONSE FILED AFTER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL REJECTION WILL BE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF MPEP 714.12 AND 714.13.

- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Charles Cooley whose telephone number is # (703) 308-0112.
- 18. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1700 receptionist whose telephone number is \$\pi\$ (703) 308-0651.

Dated: 11 February 2003

Charles Cooley Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723